



Welcome to the

# **Common Law Venue**

of Minnesota

[www.commonlawvenue.com](http://www.commonlawvenue.com)

# Adventures in Legal Land

where black is white and white is black and other shocking discoveries from  
America's courtrooms.

From the book: Adventures In  
Legal Land. By Marc Stevens

Bureaucrats don't create value, they only  
take it.

Bureaucrats feed off the productive.

**“No man’s life, liberty, or property are safe while the legislature is in session.”** Mark Twain

Legal Land is the place where bureaucrats  
live.

In 'Legal Land' a political label magically transforms robbery into something "honorable."

It's a magical world where facts are opinions  
and opinions are facts.



'Legal Land' is where facts spontaneously become opinions and then turn back to facts.

Ask a bureaucrat if they require your permission to 'proceed' against you.

Bureaucrats in the geographic area known as the 'United States' put up a pretense of legitimacy.

This pretense, or *public relations scheme*, conceals what is really going on: the taking of property and productive time through violence.

“Political language...is designed to make  
lies sound truthful and murder  
respectable, and to give an appearance of  
solidity to pure wind.” George Orwell.

Political words and opinions are used so there is a perception that bureaucrats are a wonderful, benevolent group of men and women “protecting” people and their property.

- Reality = facts
- Deletions, distortions, additions = opinions

Every time a bureaucrat spews forth an opinion he is deleting, distorting and, or adding to reality. This is very important.



‘Legal Land’ words cover up the real issue and distort reality.

That reality is someone is taking your property through physical violence, threats and organized coercion.

Know who you are dealing with and don't  
bring a knife to a gunfight.

When you assume or accept presumptions and opinions (delete, distort, add to reality), you relieve the bureaucrats of their alleged burden of proof and make the taking of your valuable time easier for them.

The only real difference between what the men and women doing business as (D/B/A) the 'IRS' do, and what a common thief does is the political opinion (label) attached i.e., one is 'legal' and the other is not.

# Adventures in Legal Land

where black is white and white is black and other shocking discoveries from  
America's courtrooms.

From the book: Adventures In  
Legal Land. By Marc Stevens

**“If taxation without consent is not robbery, then any brand of robbers have only to declare themselves a government, and all their robberies are legalized.”** Lysander Spooner, Letter to Grover Cleveland.

Having “courts,” lawyers and opinions does nothing to make robbery any less of a crime.

Bureaucrats never have a case despite all appearances to the contrary.



Never disagree with them

It's far more effective to just ask him (a judge) to explain factually where, when, why and how his so-called "jurisdiction" over me was acquired.

Except for physical violence, how was your alleged “jurisdiction” over me acquired?”

You cannot actually “win.” You are in damage control.

Justice and fairness is not the end goal of bureaucrats.

The end goal is domination and taking property by force.

Their purpose is to steal as much property as possible, using the least amount of violence.

Who cares what a bunch of dead lawyers  
and bureaucrats think anyway?



With enough physical violence behind it,  
anybody could force anyone to accept  
anything as the “law.”

If a gun is put to your head, then anything the individual with the gun says is now “the law.”

By agreeing with bureaucrats and asking them to provide the facts their opinions are based sets them up for a fall.

**“Everything the state says is a lie, and  
everything it has it has stolen.”** Frederick Wilhelm  
Nietzsche.

Never give them something to deny.

Pit the bureaucrat against himself.

Q: How do you make a bureaucrat chase his own tail? A: Agree with him.

Is a dog without a 'license' really a dog, or another nullity?



Feed their testimony back to them in question form and then sit back and watch it all fall apart.

# Adventures in Legal Land

where black is white and white is black and other shocking discoveries from  
America's courtrooms.

From the book: Adventures In  
Legal Land. By Marc Stevens

Bureaucrats want reality to conform to their opinions instead of letting their opinions conform to reality.....like *normal* people.

**“Force cannot give right.”** Thomas Jefferson, *The Rights of British Americans*

There is no way to really 'win' with bureaucrats. It's all about damage control.

Is there evidence of a complaining party?

What is that evidence and who gave it to you?

Why are you relying on it without challenge?



Facts and opinions are two separate things.

The opinion of a bureaucrat is a substitute  
for facts.



Welcome to the

# **Common Law Venue**

of Minnesota

[www.commonlawvenue.com](http://www.commonlawvenue.com)

# Adventures in Legal Land

where black is white and white is black and other shocking discoveries from  
America's courtrooms.

From the book: Adventures In  
Legal Land. By Marc Stevens

A cop who signs a ticket as the complainant  
allegedly representing the people.  
However, this is only an opinion.

Is there evidence?

What is that evidence?

Who provided that evidence?



If lawyers can get away with it in a 'tax' case, then they can get away with it in rape and murder cases.

Is 'Legal Land' where deleting, distorting  
and adding to reality is S.O.P. (Standard operating  
procedure)

Is it fair to force someone into a situation where they cannot possibly defend themselves?” or Do you equate violence with good faith?

Is there evidence of a complaining party?

Is this civil case in the nature of a contract dispute or a tort?

A so-called “civil” cause of action can only fall into one of two categories: contract or tort.

There must be a 'meeting of the minds' for there to be a contract.

- For an enforceable contract to exist there must be:

- Offer

- Acceptance

- Consideration

and sufficient specifications of terms so that the obligations involved can be

**ascertained.** Savoca Masonary Co., Inc v Homes \* Son Const. Co., Inc.



# Adventures in Legal Land

where black is white and white is black and other shocking discoveries from  
America's courtrooms.

From the book: Adventures In  
Legal Land. By Marc Stevens

For a valid contract dispute to exist there must be evidence of both a loss and a wrong (to bring a cause of action).

For there to be a cause of action there must be both, 1) the breach of a duty and, 2) actual damages.

A “tort” consists of two elements: the breach of a duty and damage.

Words are, of course, the most powerful  
drug used by mankind.” Rudyard Kipling

A “Law” is an opinion backed by a gun.

A so-called “legislative department” is just men and women; not rocks, flowers, puppies, reptiles, raisins?

A “law” is just the “written will” of men and women.



Don't confuse a political "law" with a natural law such as the law of gravity.

Taking “judicial notice” is “mandatory” under the rules of evidence.

Ask the judge “What facts are currently before you proving *when, where, why* and *how* the written will of individuals, ostensibly labeled “legislators,” became obligatory on me?”

Legal opinions should not be challenged as any other witness would be.

If some 'revenue agent' forms an opinion, then that opinion is supposed to be subject to challenge and it doesn't matter what the subject matter of the opinion is.

You could be convicted of not getting a dog license despite not having a dog.

Whether the 'law' is binding or not is really a 'jurisdiction' issue; so ask "except for coercion, exactly *where, when, why* and *how* was your control over my life acquired?"

He has control and I want to pay him: I only want to know how jurisdiction was *acquired*.



Everything being used against you is  
supposed to be subject to challenge.

The testimony of a witness concerning a particular matter is inadmissible unless he has personal knowledge of the matter.

# Adventures in Legal Land

where black is white and white is black and other shocking discoveries from  
America's courtrooms.

From the book: Adventures In  
Legal Land. By Marc Stevens

There are no binding “rules” for bureaucrats  
becausee they just don’t follow “rules.”  
-until you sue-

The law itself is nothing more than an opinion by one man, or a body of men, backed by a gun.

- A “government” is a group of men and women doing business using physical violence under the political banner of “necessity.”

Using so-called 'evidence' not subject to challenge is not a trial in any sense of the word.

If I have to accept opinions without challenge then what is the point of having a trial under any circumstances?



A cause of action is supposed to require the breach of duty resulting in damage.

“Traffic court” is a matter of obedience, domination and money, not a matter of safety, fairness and justice.

It is a fantasy to think people are damaged if I don't have ID on me.

Are there any allegations of damage here?

To the man who only has a hammer,  
everything he encounters begins to look  
like a nail.” —Abraham H. Maslow

Is your jurisdiction based on my consent?

Judge: Do you equate violence and coercion with fairness and good faith?

A “cause of action” is a breach of known “legal” duty that results in loss, harm or injury.



The complaining arty is supposed to have standing to complain. Without standing anyone can sue anyone else for anything.

Bureaucrats ignore standing.

Violence is the *modus operandi* (“M.O.”) of  
bureaucrats, just imagine what  
compliance would be like if they could not  
use violence.

With bureacucrats it's always "my way or the highway."

“Your money or your life,” or “your ID or your life.” What is the difference?

Bureaucrats don't kill people for violating laws. Bureaucrats only kill people to insure that they stand trial for breaking those laws.

The difference between Santa Clause and the 'state' is that no one is using a gun to force me to believe in Santa.

How is an “act of congress” a complaining party? What *obligation* do I owe, and how did I damage an alleged “act of congress?”



The “state” is not a *natural* phenomenon. It is *man-made* and *exists only in the mind*.

Ask “is there evidence of a cause of action  
against me?”

Ask him what facts his “legal” opinions are based on.

A “law” is just an opinion backed by violence.

Violence is the means by which the *irrational*  
get the *rational* to act.

# Adventures in Legal Land

where black is white and white is black and other shocking discoveries from  
America's courtrooms.

From the book: Adventures In  
Legal Land. By Marc Stevens